

EE363 Midterm Exam Solutions, May 2026

- This is a 75-minute in-class exam.
- Write your answers in the provided blue book. Make sure to clearly label each page with the problem number you are working on.
- If we ask you to “show” something is true, we are expecting a concise, intuitive derivation *not a formal proof*.
- You may use any books or paper notes.
- You may not use any electronic resources. For example, you cannot use a laptop, tablet or phone, run Julia code, search online, or consult a large language model.
- You may not discuss the exam or course material with others until everyone has taken the exam.
- If you have a question, please ask the staff. We have done our best to make the exam unambiguous. So unless there is a mistake, we are unlikely to say much.
- Please box or otherwise highlight your solution on the page.
- The problems on this exam are split into parts. Each part has a solution under 1/2 page. Thus, if you find yourself producing a complicated and time-consuming solution, stop and reconsider your assumptions.
- Good luck!

1. Multiple choice questions (10 points). For each of the questions below, select exactly one correct answer. All matrices have compatible dimensions.

a) Affine systems (select one statement that is always true):

Consider the affine system

$$\dot{x} = Ax + b.$$

- i. An equilibrium exists if and only if $b \in \text{null}(A)$.
- ii. If an equilibrium exists, it is unique if and only if A is singular.
- iii. If all eigenvalues of A have nonpositive real part, then every trajectory converges to an equilibrium.
- iv. If A is nonsingular, the unique equilibrium is $x_e = -A^{-1}b$; if, in addition, all eigenvalues of A have negative real part, then every trajectory converges to x_e .

b) Controllability (select one condition equivalent to controllability):

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$.

- i. $\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} sI - A & B \end{bmatrix} = n$ for every $s \in \mathbb{C}$.
- ii. $\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} B & AB & \cdots & A^{n-1}B \end{bmatrix} = m$.
- iii. $\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} sI - A \\ B^\top \end{bmatrix} = n$ for every $s \in \mathbb{C}$.
- iv. $B^\top v \neq 0$ for every right eigenvector v of A .

c) Continuous-time minimum energy control (select one statement that is always true):

Consider the continuous-time system

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t), \quad x(0) = x_0,$$

where $x_0 \neq 0$, and assume (A, B) is controllable. For $T > 0$, let $u^{(T)}$ be a minimum-energy input that drives the state to zero at time T , meaning that $x(T) = 0$ and $u^{(T)}$ minimizes

$$E_T = \int_0^T \|u(t)\|_2^2 dt$$

among all inputs that satisfy $x(T) = 0$. Which statement is always true?

- i. $E_{20} \leq E_{10}$.
- ii. $\|u^{(10)}(t)\|_2 \geq \|u^{(20)}(t)\|_2$ for every $t \in [0, 10]$.
- iii. $u^{(20)}(t) = 0$ for every $t \in [10, 20]$.
- iv. The inputs $u^{(10)}$ and $u^{(20)}$ may fail to exist even though (A, B) is controllable.

d) Exact zero-order-hold discretization (select one statement that is always true):

Consider the continuous-time system

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t),$$

and assume all eigenvalues of A have negative real part. Let S_h be the exact zero-order-hold discretization with sampling period $h > 0$:

$$x_d(k+1) = A_d x_d(k) + B_d u_d(k),$$

where

$$A_d = e^{hA}, \quad B_d = \left(\int_0^h e^{\tau A} d\tau \right) B.$$

Which statement is always true?

- i. A_d is discrete-time stable for every $h > 0$.
 - ii. Every eigenvalue of A_d has negative real part.
 - iii. $A_d = I + hA$ for every $h > 0$.
 - iv. $B_d = hB$ for every $h > 0$.
- e) Discretized optimal control (select one statement that is always true):

Consider the exact zero-order-hold discretization S_h from the previous part. Let N be a positive integer, let $T = Nh$, and suppose $u_d^*(0), \dots, u_d^*(N-1)$ is a minimum-energy discrete-time input sequence that drives $x_d(0) = x_0$ to $x_d(N) = 0$, where discrete energy is measured as

$$h \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \|u_d(k)\|_2^2.$$

Define the associated continuous-time zero-order-hold input by

$$u^*(t) = u_d^*(k), \quad kh \leq t < (k+1)h.$$

Which statement is always true?

- i. u^* is necessarily a continuous-time minimum-energy input among all square-integrable inputs on $[0, T]$.
- ii. The input u^* drives the original continuous-time system to $x(T) = 0$.
- iii. If the sampling period is refined to $\tilde{h} = h/2$, then the $2N$ -step sequence

$$\tilde{u}_d(2k) = \tilde{u}_d(2k+1) = u_d^*(k), \quad k = 0, \dots, N-1,$$

must be a minimum-energy sequence for the refined discretization over the same time interval $[0, T]$.

- iv. None of the above.

Solution.

a) Affine systems:

- i. False. An equilibrium exists if and only if $-b \in \text{range}(A)$.
- ii. False. If an equilibrium exists, it is unique if and only if A is nonsingular.
- iii. False. For example, purely imaginary eigenvalues can give nonconvergent trajectories.
- iv. True.

b) Controllability:

- i. True. This is the PBH controllability test.
- ii. False. The controllability matrix must have rank n , not rank m .
- iii. False. This is not the PBH controllability test in general; the vertical version would involve $sI - A^T$.

- iv. False. The PBH controllability test involves left eigenvectors of A , not right eigenvectors of A .

c) Continuous-time minimum energy control:

- i. True. Take the 10-second optimal input $u^{(10)}$, and define a 20-second input by using $u^{(10)}$ on $[0, 10]$ and then setting the input equal to zero on $[10, 20]$. Since the state is zero at time 10 and $u = 0$ afterwards, the state remains zero until time 20. This gives a feasible 20-second input with energy E_{10} , so the minimum possible 20-second energy satisfies $E_{20} \leq E_{10}$.
- ii. False. Minimum energy gives an inequality between total energies, not a pointwise inequality between the input norms.
- iii. False. The 20-second optimal input need not be zero on $[10, 20]$.
- iv. False. Since (A, B) is controllable, the state can be driven to zero in any positive amount of time.

d) Exact zero-order-hold discretization:

- i. True. If λ_i is an eigenvalue of A , then $e^{h\lambda_i}$ is an eigenvalue of $A_d = e^{hA}$. Since $\Re(\lambda_i) < 0$, we have

$$|e^{h\lambda_i}| = e^{h\Re(\lambda_i)} < 1.$$

Therefore A_d is stable for every $h > 0$.

- ii. False. Discrete-time stability requires eigenvalues to have magnitude less than one, not negative real part.
- iii. False. $I + hA$ is the forward-Euler approximation to e^{hA} , not the exact zero-order-hold matrix in general.
- iv. False. In general,

$$B_d = \left(\int_0^h e^{\tau A} d\tau \right) B,$$

which is not equal to hB except in special cases.

e) Discretized optimal control:

- i. False. The discrete-time problem optimizes over inputs that are constant on each sampling interval. The continuous-time minimum-energy input is optimized over a larger class of inputs and need not be piecewise constant.
- ii. True. This is exactly the defining property of the exact zero-order-hold discretization: under the zero-order-hold input u^* , the sampled continuous-time state satisfies $x(kh) = x_d(k)$. Since $x_d(N) = 0$, we have $x(T) = x(Nh) = 0$.
- iii. False. The repeated sequence is feasible for the refined discretization, because it represents the same continuous-time zero-order-hold input. But it need not be optimal for the refined problem, since refining the sampling period allows a larger class of piecewise-constant inputs.
- iv. False.

2. State and output trajectories (10 points). Consider the autonomous continuous-time system

$$\dot{x} = Ax, \quad y = Cx,$$

where $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^5$, $y(t) \in \mathbb{R}$, and

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = [1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0].$$

We say that a vector-valued signal z is asymptotically 2π -periodic if

$$\|z(t + 2\pi) - z(t)\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

a) Write $x_1(t), \dots, x_5(t)$ in terms of $x_1(0), \dots, x_5(0)$. You might find the following fact useful:

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad e^{tJ} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(t) & -\sin(t) \\ \sin(t) & \cos(t) \end{bmatrix}.$$

b) Write $y(t)$ in terms of $x_1(0), \dots, x_5(0)$.

c) Is every state trajectory $x(t)$ bounded? If not, give an exact condition on $x(0)$ under which $x(t)$ is bounded.

d) Is every state trajectory $x(t)$ asymptotically 2π -periodic? If not, give an exact condition on $x(0)$ under which $x(t)$ is asymptotically 2π -periodic.

e) Is every output trajectory $y(t)$ bounded? Does every output trajectory converge? Is every output trajectory asymptotically 2π -periodic? Briefly justify your answers.

f) Find all initial conditions $x(0)$ for which $y(t) = 0$ for all $t \geq 0$. Does this set include an initial condition whose state trajectory is unbounded? If so, give one such initial condition.

Solution. The state equations are

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_1 &= -x_1, & \dot{x}_2 &= x_3, & \dot{x}_3 &= 0, \\ \dot{x}_4 &= -x_5, & \dot{x}_5 &= x_4. \end{aligned}$$

a) The first three components are

$$x_1(t) = e^{-t}x_1(0), \quad x_2(t) = x_2(0) + tx_3(0), \quad x_3(t) = x_3(0).$$

For the last two components,

$$\ddot{x}_4 = -x_4, \quad \ddot{x}_5 = -x_5,$$

with $\dot{x}_4(0) = -x_5(0)$ and $\dot{x}_5(0) = x_4(0)$. Hence

$$x_4(t) = x_4(0) \cos t - x_5(0) \sin t,$$

$$x_5(t) = x_4(0) \sin t + x_5(0) \cos t.$$

b) Since $y = x_1 + x_4$,

$$y(t) = e^{-t}x_1(0) + x_4(0) \cos t - x_5(0) \sin t.$$

c) Not every state trajectory is bounded because

$$x_2(t) = x_2(0) + tx_3(0).$$

The state is bounded if and only if $x_3(0) = 0$.

d) Not every state trajectory is asymptotically 2π -periodic because of $x_2(t)$. The condition for state trajectory to be asymptotically 2π -periodic is $x_3(0) = 0$. In this case the only non-periodic component is $e^{-t}x_1(0)$, which decays to zero.

e) Every output trajectory is bounded because it is the sum of a decaying exponential and a sinusoid. Not every output trajectory converges. If $x_4(0) = 1$ and all other initial components zero, $y(t) = \cos t$. Every output trajectory is asymptotically 2π -periodic, since as $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$y(t + 2\pi) - y(t) = e^{-(t+2\pi)}x_1(0) - e^{-t}x_1(0) = (e^{-2\pi} - 1)e^{-t}x_1(0) \rightarrow 0.$$

f) From the expression for $y(t)$, we have $y(t) = 0$ for all $t \geq 0$ if and only if

$$x_1(0) = 0, \quad x_4(0) = 0, \quad x_5(0) = 0.$$

There is no restriction on $x_2(0)$ or $x_3(0)$. These conditions allow for unbounded state trajectories by setting $x_3(0) \neq 0$. For example,

$$x(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

gives $y(t) = 0$ for all $t \geq 0$ but $x_2(t) = t$.

3. Minimum-energy control with coasting (10 points). Consider the discrete-time linear system

$$x(t+1) = Ax(t) + Bu(t), \quad x(0) = 0,$$

where $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$. We would like to reach $x(N) = x_{\text{des}}$. The actuator is available only during the first $N-r$ time steps, where $1 \leq r < N$, and during the last r time steps the system must coast, i.e.,

$$u(N-r) = u(N-r+1) = \dots = u(N-1) = 0.$$

Define

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} u(N-r-1) \\ u(N-r-2) \\ \vdots \\ u(0) \end{bmatrix}.$$

The energy of the input sequence is

$$E = \sum_{t=0}^{N-r-1} \|u(t)\|_2^2 = \|U\|_2^2.$$

Do not assume A is invertible unless explicitly stated.

- Find the matrix M_c such that $x(N) = M_c U$.
- Give a necessary and sufficient condition on x_{des} for it to be reachable at time N under the coasting constraint. When is every $x_{\text{des}} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ reachable under this constraint?
- Assume in this part that M_c has full row rank. Give an expression for the input sequence U^* that reaches x_{des} and minimizes E .
- Under the same full-row-rank assumption, give the minimum energy $E_{\min}(x_{\text{des}})$ as a quadratic form in x_{des} .
- Suppose $N-r \geq n$, and suppose the usual pair (A, B) is controllable. A student claims that the coasting constraint cannot hurt reachability, so every x_{des} is reachable at time N . Is the student's claim true if A is invertible? Is it true without assuming A is invertible? Give a brief justification in each case.

Solution. For the unconstrained part of the input sequence, the state at time N is

$$x(N) = \sum_{t=0}^{N-r-1} A^{N-1-t} B u(t),$$

since the inputs from $N-r$ through $N-1$ are zero.

- Therefore

$$x(N) = M_c U,$$

where

$$M_c = [A^r B \quad A^{r+1} B \quad \dots \quad A^{N-1} B].$$

- The target x_{des} is reachable under the coasting constraint if and only if

$$x_{\text{des}} \in \text{range}(M_c).$$

Every target $x_{\text{des}} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is reachable if and only if

$$\text{rank}(M_c) = n.$$

c) Since M_c has full row rank, the minimum-norm solution of $M_c U = x_{\text{des}}$ is

$$U^* = M_c^\top (M_c M_c^\top)^{-1} x_{\text{des}}.$$

d) Let

$$W_c = M_c M_c^\top.$$

Then

$$W_c = \sum_{k=r}^{N-1} A^k B B^\top (A^\top)^k.$$

The minimum energy is

$$E_{\min}(x_{\text{des}}) = \|U^*\|_2^2 = x_{\text{des}}^\top W_c^{-1} x_{\text{des}}.$$

e) If A is invertible, the student's claim is true. We can write

$$M_c = A^r [B \quad AB \quad \dots \quad A^{N-r-1}B].$$

Since A^r is invertible, multiplying by A^r does not change the rank. Because $N - r \geq n$ and (A, B) is controllable, the second matrix has rank n . Hence M_c has rank n , and every target is reachable.

Without assuming A is invertible, the claim is false. A scalar counterexample is

$$n = m = 1, \quad A = 0, \quad B = 1, \quad N = 2, \quad r = 1.$$

The pair (A, B) is controllable because the controllability matrix is just $[B] = [1]$. Also $N - r = 1 \geq n$. But with coasting,

$$M_c = A^r B = AB = 0,$$

so $x(2) = 0$ for every allowable input $u(0)$. Thus any nonzero x_{des} is not reachable.

4. A realization and its transfer function (10 points). Consider the continuous-time system

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu, \quad y = Cx,$$

where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = [1 \ 0 \ 1].$$

For this problem, call a realization internally stable if all eigenvalues of its A matrix have negative real part. Call a transfer function stable if all of its poles have negative real part.

- Compute the transfer function $G(s) = C(sI - A)^{-1}B$.
- For each eigenvalue of A , say whether the associated mode is controllable and whether the associated mode is observable. Briefly justify your answer.
- Which eigenvalues of A appear as poles of $G(s)$? Briefly explain any discrepancy between the eigenvalues of A and the poles of $G(s)$.
- Is the realization given in the problem internally stable? Is $G(s)$ stable? Briefly explain.
- Assume $u(t) = 0$. Find all initial conditions $x(0)$ for which $y(t) = 0$ for all $t \geq 0$. Does this set contain a nonzero initial condition for which $\|x(t)\| \rightarrow \infty$? If so, give one.
- Give a realization of the same transfer function with the smallest possible state dimension. Briefly justify why the dimension is smallest possible.

Solution.

- a) The transfer function is given by

$$G(s) = C(sI - A)^{-1}B.$$

Since A is diagonal,

$$(sI - A)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{s+1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{s-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{s+3} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore

$$G(s) = [1 \ 0 \ 1] \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{s+1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{s-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{s+3} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{s+1}.$$

- b) The eigenvalues of A are -1 , 2 , and -3 , with corresponding eigenvectors e_1 , e_2 , and e_3 . Controllability and observability of e_i can be deduced by simply looking at the corresponding entries of B and C . Thus:

eigenvalue	controllable?	observable?
-1	yes	yes
2	yes	no
-3	no	yes

- c) The only pole of $G(s)$ is $s = -1$. The eigenvalue 2 does not appear as a pole because its mode is unobservable, and the eigenvalue -3 does not appear as a pole because its mode is uncontrollable.
- d) The given realization is not internally stable, since A has eigenvalue 2 . The transfer function is stable, since its only pole is -1 , which has negative real part. Thus the input-output behavior from zero initial condition is stable, even though the realization has an unstable internal mode.

e) With $u(t) = 0$,

$$x(t) = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-t}x_1(0) \\ e^{2t}x_2(0) \\ e^{-3t}x_3(0) \end{bmatrix}, \quad y(t) = e^{-t}x_1(0) + e^{-3t}x_3(0).$$

Since e^{-t} and e^{-3t} are linearly independent functions, $y(t) = 0$ for all $t \geq 0$ if and only if

$$x_1(0) = 0, \quad x_3(0) = 0.$$

Thus the set of such initial conditions is

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \alpha \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} : \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

This set contains nonzero initial conditions with unbounded state trajectories. For example, with $x(0) = e_2$ and $u(t) = 0$, we have $x(t) = e^{2t}e_2$, so $\|x(t)\| \rightarrow \infty$, while $y(t) = 0$ for all $t \geq 0$.

f) One smallest-dimension realization is

$$A_m = [-1], \quad B_m = [1], \quad C_m = [1].$$

It realizes

$$C_m(sI - A_m)^{-1}B_m = \frac{1}{s+1}.$$

The dimension cannot be zero since that would give a constant transfer function ($G(s) = D$), therefore 1 is the smallest possible dimension.